



BUILDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

March 3, 2010

9:00 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Brian Olson
Mr. Larry Whittaker
Mr. Thomas McDonald
Mr. Chad Holladay
Mr. John Clarkee
Mr. Vince Colarelli

MEMBERS ABSENT: Mr. Todd Anderson

OTHERS PRESENT: Mr. Henry Yankowski, Building Official
Mr. Jim Pepper, Chief Building Inspector
Mr. Roger Lovell, Plans Supervisor
Mr. Todd Welch, Regional Building Counsel
Mr. Terry Brunette, Special Investigator/Licensing
Mr. Brett Phillips, Contractor Licensing
Ms. Linda Gardner, Executive Administrative Assistant

PROCEEDINGS:

Chairman Brian Olson called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

1. CONSIDERATION OF FEBRUARY 3, 2010 MINUTES

A motion was made by Chad Holladay to **APPROVE** the February 3, 2010, Minutes as written, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

2. CONSENT LICENSE REQUESTS

Building Contractor A-1 (General)

CREEKSTONE DEVELOPMENT, INC. – THOMAS MCDONALD (UPGRADE LICENSE)
PARAMOUNT CONSTRUCTION, INC. – WARD BAUSCHER (NEW EXAMINEE)
TERRA NOVA INDUSTRIES – RONALD TAYLOR

Building Contractor B-1 (General Limited)

INDEPENDENT HOME LIVING CONTRACTOR – MIRAN JACOBY
KTK GENERAL CONTRACTING LIMITED – JOHN KOPPENHAFFER

Building Contractor C (Homebuilder)

LANDMARK BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC. – LARRY JONES
PAUL DAVIS RESTORATION – MARK WATKINS (EXAMINEE TO NEW CO.)
PREGIO HOMES – MICHAEL BERRY (EXAMINEE TO NEW CO.)
RED ROCK DEVELOPMENT, LLC – JASON LEE

Building Contractor D-1 (Roofing)

A DENVER ROOFING – AUGUST FRANKMORE
ABELLO'S ROOFING, LLC – DAVID PETRALI
CEDAR VALLEY EXTERIORS, L.P. – LEE PHILLIPS (NEW EXAMINEE)
E3 ROOFING, LLC – JASON BERRY
NEW LIFE CONTRACTING, INC. – STEVEN VIRKUS
PROFESSIONAL ROOFING & EXTERIORS – BRYON THOMAS
RELIABLE ROOFING SYSTEMS, LLC – JAMIE WILSON
ROOF BROKERS, INC. – JAMES CARPENTER
TEAM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC. – JAMES HENDRICKS

Building Contractor D-1 (Siding)

CEDAR VALLEY EXTERIORS, L.P. – LEE PHILLIPS (NEW EXAMINEE)

Building Contractor D-1 (Stucco)

EAGLE PLASTERING, INC. – EDUARDO TOPETE (REINSTATEMENT)

Building Contractor D-6B (Sign)

ENCHANTED SIGNS – ELAINE HIPPS

Building Contractor E (Remodeling/Maintenance)

FIRST CHOICE SERVICES, INC. – BARRY RICHARDS
ROCKY MOUNTAIN CUSTOM DECKS – NATE GREGG

Building Contractor F-1 (Solar Energy)

LENZ ELECTRIC, INC. – JERRY LENZ (ADDITIONAL LICENSE)

A motion was made by Vince Colarelli to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the Consent License Requests, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously. Tom McDonald recused himself from the motion.

LICENSE REQUESTS CONSIDERED INDIVIDUALLY

Building Contractor A-1 (General)

RHINOTRAX CONSTRUCTION, INC. – MICHELE NOEL (REMOVE RESTRICTION)*

Brett Phillips stated Michele Noel contacted him and informed him that she could not appear today. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to **TABLE** this Item until the end of the meeting, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

Building Contractor B-1 (General Limited)

CORNERSTONE ROOFING & RESTORATION – GIOVANNY VARGAS*

No one appeared. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to **TABLE** this Item until the end of the meeting, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

LEGACY HOMES OF PUEBLO, INC. – BRANSON HANEY*

Branson Haney appeared and stated his father started Legacy Homes of Pueblo, and when he took over the business in 2002, he had a “B” license in Pueblo County. He stated he has two customers that would like to build houses in Fountain, which is the reason for this license request. A motion was made by Larry Whittaker to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the “B-1” (General Limited) license, with the limitation of two permits until completed, unlimited below, at which point Mr. Haney can work with RBD staff to have the restriction removed, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

MISSION COMMERCIAL CONTRACTORS – BARTLEY BELL (UPGRADE LICENSE)*

Bartley Bell appeared and stated he plans to focus on tenant finishes. He stated he currently has an “E” license, and has pulled three permits under the “E” license, which included a

laundry room addition, and two basement finishes. He stated the contractor that will be his partner in this business has 30 years of construction experience. Vince Collarelli stated he feels his partner with the construction experience should be the examinee. Chad Holladay stated he feels Mr. Bell does not have adequate experience to get his "B-1" license. A motion was made by Vince Colarelli to recommend to the Board of Review **DENIAL** of the license request and suggests that Mr. Bell come back to RBD at a later date with more experience or a new examinee with more experience than himself, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

Building Contractor C (Homebuilder)

DLT CONSTRUCTION, LLC – DANIEL TINKER*

Daniel Tinker appeared and stated he has been in the construction business since he was 16 years old. He stated he plans to perform primarily tenant finishes. He stated he has a customer that is interested in buying houses, remodeling the houses and giving them to underprivileged people, which is the purpose for this license request. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the "C" (Homebuilder) license, with the limitation of no ground up construction, but he can do remodels and additions, and he must reappear before the Building Committee after he obtains more experience to have the restriction removed, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

KENYON DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION, INC. – DAVID KENYON*

David Kenyon appeared and stated he started working with his father when he was 14 years old. He stated his father was a heating contractor. He said he has built ten homes in Alaska, and in 1993 he got his "B" license in California, which allows you to do all phases of construction work. He stated he has been working with Kenyon Designs doing lighter work, i.e. decks, remodels, etc. because he had back surgery just before moving to Colorado. He stated he now has an investor and would like to get this license to build homes in El Paso County in 2011. He stated he has a number of clients right now waiting for him to get his license to do remodeling work. A motion was made by Tom McDonald to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the "C" (Homebuilder) license, with the limitation of two permits, unlimited below, until completed, at which point Mr. Kenyon can work with RBD staff to have the restriction removed, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

* Appearance required at the Building Committee meeting.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

- a) 276 Goosedown Court, Permit H17909 – Layton Gaskie, G-6 Construction, Inc., requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a soffit height of 6’6” under furred-down beams, pipes and ducts where a minimum of 6’8” is required.
- b) 6930 Abilene Ridge Trail, Permit H09306 – Christina Miller, homeowner, requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a soffit height of 6’6” under furred-down beams, pipes and ducts where a minimum of 6’8” is required.
- c) 4466 Campus Bluffs Court, Permit G70258 – Corey Farkas, homeowner, requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a soffit height of 6’6” under furred-down beams, pipes and ducts where a minimum of 6’8” is required.
- d) 4745 Pascal Court, Permit G84775 – Frank Boskovick, homeowner, requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a soffit height of 6’5” under furred-down beams, pipes and ducts where a minimum of 6’8” is required.
- e) 3405 Ashwood Circle, Permit H19731 – Paul Sutton, Peak Basement Systems, requests a variance to allow the FSI 288 Push Pier System to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited, with the stipulation that the documentation is approved by an engineer.
- f) 4880 Broadmoor Bluff’s Drive, Permit H18629 – Paul Sutton, Peak Basement Systems, requests a variance to allow the FSI 288 Push Pier System to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited, with the stipulation that the documentation is approved by an engineer.
- g) 4180 through 4198 Apollo Village Circle – Dwayne White requests a variance to allow the FSI Helical and Push Pier System to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited, with the stipulation that the documentation is approved by an engineer.

- h) 4202 through 4220 Apollo Village Circle – Dwayne White requests a variance to allow the FSI Helical and Push Pier System to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited, with the stipulation that the documentation is approved by an engineer.
- i) 4226 through 4256 Apollo Village Circle – Dwayne White requests a variance to allow the FSI Helical and Push Pier System to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited, with the stipulation that the documentation is approved by an engineer
- j) 124 West Columbia Street, Permit H07921 – Bob McGrath, Bob McGrath Construction, requests a variance to allow use of Section R806.4, 2006 International Residential Code, where the use of the 2003 International Residential Code, Section R806.1, is required for attic ventilation.
- k) 20445 Bright Wing Trail – Tom Hopkins, Seven Hammers Construction, requests a variance to allow use of Section R806.4, 2006 International Residential Code, where the use of the 2003 International Residential Code, Section R806.1, is required for attic ventilation.
- l) 6890 Eagle Wing Drive, Permit H08434 – Stan Campbell, Tuscan Builders, requests a variance to allow use of Section R806.4, 2006 International Residential Code, where the use of the 2003 International Residential Code, Section R806.1, is required for attic ventilation.
- m) 20448 Hunting Downs Way, Permit H19132 – Brian Schneider, homeowner, requests a variance to allow use of Section R806.4, 2006 International Residential Code, where the use of the 2003 International Residential Code, Section R806.1, is required for attic ventilation.

A motion was made by Chad Holladay to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the Consent Calendar variance requests, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

VARIANCE REQUESTS

4. 7140 Alegre Circle, Permit G79783 – Aztec Roofing/AVI Roofing & Gutters requests a variance to Section R905.2.7, 2003 International Residential Code, to allow one layer of roofing felt where two layers of ASTM D226 roofing felt is required for roof slopes between 2:12 and 4:12.

No one appeared. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to **TABLE** this Item until the end of the meeting, seconded by Larry Whittaker; the motion carried unanimously.

5. 1704 New Castle Street, Permit G97046 – Damian Seaback, Seaback Roofing & Restoration, requests a variance to Section R905.2.2, 2003 International Residential Code, to allow asphalt strip shingles to be installed on a roof pitch less than 2:12.

Damian Seaback appeared and stated the homeowner insisted that he put impact resistant shingles on the entire house, even though he informed the homeowner that they did not meet Code. Chad Holladay stated Mr. Seaback should have gotten the variance prior to installing the roof. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request because the homeowner has submitted a letter to RBD approving the current construction, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

6. 18280 Will O The Wisp Way, Permit H09651 – James Maisano, Cricket Roofing/Krikzoe Roofing, requests a variance to Table R301.2(1), 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to waive the requirement for ice and water shield from the eave to 24” inside the exterior wall.

James Maisano appeared and stated he did a reroof on a house in Monument and he supplied the materials for the ice and water shield to the site, but his roofers did not install the ice and water shield on the house. He said he has a letter approving the existing construction from the homeowner and he has extended the warranty. A motion was made by Chad Holladay to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request based on the letter from the homeowner approving the current construction, and the extended warranty which is transferable on sale of the property, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion was carried unanimously.

7. 4963 Fabray Lane, Permit F41418 – Adam Peters, homeowner, requests a variance to Section R311.5.2, 2003 International Residential Code, to allow a 6’2” head height above the stairs where a minimum of 6’8” is required.

Amy Peters appeared and stated this basement finish was completed in 2005; they did not get a final inspection at that time; and they are trying to sell the house now. She stated her father-in-law is a contractor and he did the work on the basement. Larry Whittaker stated if the drywall at that location is tight to the structural floor above, then they may grant the variance, but if it is attached to furring and the ceiling has been furred down, then it could be corrected to gain a few more inches. Ms. Peters stated it is a suspended ceiling. Jim Pepper stated if it is a suspended ceiling, it may be easy to correct and RBD will have it inspected next week to determine if it can be corrected to meet Code. A motion was made by Vince Colarelli to **POSTPONE** this Item until the April 7, 2010, Building Committee meeting to allow RBD time to inspect the existing construction to determine if it can be corrected, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

8. 7856 McLaughlin Road, Permit H19619 – Michele Tate, homeowner, requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a basement soffit width of 7', which is greater than 50% of the room.

Michele Tate appeared and stated this is a bedroom in a basement finish, and due to the existing ducting, the lower soffit height is over 58 percent of the room. A motion was made by Tom McDonald to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request due to existing conditions, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

9. 1312 North Franklin Street, Permit H16253 – Chris Touch, Protouch Renovations, requests a variance to Section RBC303.4.10, Exception 2, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code, to allow a soffit ceiling height of 5'11" under furred-down beams, pipes and ducts where it is required to be a minimum of 6'8".

Chris Touch appeared and stated this house was built in the 1940's, and this soffit is over a doorway into the storage room, which also contains the washer and dryer. He stated the framing is tight to the floor above and they are unable to obtain any more height at this location. He said the homeowner is willing to close in the door, but she wanted the door as a convenience to get to the bathroom. A motion was made by Larry Whittaker to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request, with the stipulation that this entry into the laundry room is closed in, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

10. 34105 Book Road – John Beechwood, homeowner, requests a variance to Section R1004.1, 2003 International Residential Code, to allow use of Section 304.6, 1997 Uniform Mechanical Code, which allows use of a woodstove which is not listed, labeled or tested in accordance with UL127.

John Beechwood stated this wood burning heater is a 1910 to 1920 United States Army Cannon Heater. He stated he is heating his house with a forced air furnace, but at the present time it is only heating half of the house, and he would like to install this wood burning heater to reduce his propane costs and heat the other half of the house. He stated the overall height is 30" and the diameter is approximately 30". Mr. Beechwood stated the woodstove weighs approximately 300 pounds, and he purchased the woodstove at an auction. Jim Pepper stated the stove must sit on noncombustible materials such as tile. Roger Lovell stated the 2003 Codes do not have any criteria for unlisted appliances; they require all to be listed. He said the 1997 Uniform Mechanical Code ("UMC") does have a provision for unlisted appliances. He stated it would have passed under the 1997 UMC, but the clearances would have to be increased. Mr. Lovell stated the woodstove would have to be 48" from the wall. A motion was made by Tom McDonald to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request based on Section 312.1, 1997 Uniform Mechanical Code, with the stipulation that clearances of 48" above the appliance, to the rear of the appliance, and on both sides of the appliance; and 54" in front of the appliance are maintained; and the woodstove must sit on noncombustible materials, i.e. ceramic tile, with the same clearance dimensions, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

Break from 10:24 a.m. to 10:34 a.m.

11. 13809 Horsetail Terrace, Diane Rundell, Park Range Construction, Inc., requests a variance to allow the use of ECP Helical Piers to be installed as an alternate method of construction, where prohibited.

Diane Rundell and John Lujan appeared and stated Park Range Construction has been in business since 1981 and they install various types of foundations. She stated the ICC approval process has been started on the ECP Helical Pier foundation, but has not been completed. She said she has a report from Hardesty Consulting, LLC, a Colorado engineer, which stated that the ECP 1-3/4-inch solid square steel helical pier shaft system and bracket assembly are an appropriate foundation repair alternative to be used in the State of Colorado; and it has been approved at this location by Hunter Engineering, LLC. A motion was made by Tom McDonald to recommend to the Board of Review **APPROVAL** of the variance request because it has been approved by an engineer, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

12. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

There was no Unfinished Business to discuss.

13. **NEW BUSINESS**

a) Tabled Items

A motion was made by Tom McDonald to **POSTPONE** the Tabled Items until the April 7, 2010, Building Committee meeting, seconded Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

Break for lunch from 10:48 a.m. to 1:03 p.m.

Vince Colarelli and Larry Whittaker left the meeting at 10:48 a.m. and a quorum was maintained.

14. **COMPLAINTS**

- a) A complaint has been brought against Robert D. Wright, licensee for A Wright's Roofing, by Jeff Hall for performing construction work at 3719 Blue Merion Court, Colorado Springs, Colorado, without obtaining a permit and for willful and wanton negligence in the conduct of his business; by Regional Building for performing construction work at 3922 Leisure Lane, Colorado Springs, Colorado, and 6840 Narrow Gauge Street, Security, Colorado, without obtaining permits and for willful and wanton negligence in the conduct of his business; and because he has five (5) "A" status permits, in violation of Sections RBC105.1, RBC109.2, and RBC201.11.3 Item 6, 2005 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code. *This Complaint was heard during the January 6, 2010, Building Committee meeting; and the Committee placed a Letter of Reprimand in Mr. Wright's file, and recommended to the Board of Review that Mr. Wright's license be REVOKED to prohibit Mr. Wright from performing work in this jurisdiction. Mr. Wright and his attorney, Ken Nuss, appeared at the February 17, 2010, Board of Review meeting and requested a one-month extension, because Mr. Nuss had just been retained by Mr. Wright and required additional time to research this case. The Board of Review returned the Complaint to the Building Committee for further review and to allow Mr. Nuss to present new evidence.*

Jim Pepper appeared, was sworn in, and stated the details of the complaint. He said the issue regarding the "A" status permits have not changed since the first meeting. Jeff Hall appeared and was sworn in; and his attorney, Karl Berg, appeared and stated Mr. Hall has two complaints against Mr. Wright, i.e. working on his roof without a permit, and willful and wanton negligence by Mr. Wright because he did not properly complete the work on the roof of Mr. Hall's house which resulted in property damage. A witness for Mr. Hall, Charles Sanders, appeared, was sworn in, and stated he is a painting contractor and he was requested by Jeff Hall to give him an estimate on the interior remodel of his house. He said he first visited Mr. Hall's house on November 17, 2009, to do an estimate, and the roofers were removing the shingles from Mr. Hall's roof that day. He said he met Dan Wright on November 19th when Mr. Wright was working on the flat roof portion of the house. Mr. Sanders stated his contract with Mr. Hall was dated November 18th and he started work

immediately. He said there was a leak from the roof in the area that was being repaired by Mr. Wright, because there was a snow storm that week and the snow sat on the roof that was being repaired for several days. He said this caused damage to the house after he had already repaired it, which caused Mr. Hall to incur an additional \$400.00 in repairs to correct the damage caused by the leak in the roof.

Sherry Szklarski appeared, was sworn in. Todd Welch stated this issue is related because there is still an open permit for Ms. Szklarski's house. Brian Olson stated we will hear this issue separately.

Robert D. Wright appeared, was sworn in, and stated he quit working on the Hall residence because he got a call from the District Attorney informing him that Mr. Hall was pressing theft by deception charges against him. Mr. Wright said Mr. Hall had paid him for the first two stages of the roof, but then he learned from RBD that he could only reroof 25 percent of the house per year if he was using wood shake shingles. He said Mr. Hall then demanded that Mr. Wright return the money for the first two stages or Mr. Hall wanted the materials for all four stages of the roof delivered to the house, or Mr. Hall was going to press theft charges against Mr. Wright. Mr. Wright said he could not return to Mr. Hall's house to finish the job because Mr. Hall had called the material suppliers, filed a complaint with RBD, and called the District Attorney, so Mr. Wright did not feel he could return to the Hall house to finish the job. Mr. Wright said Mr. Hall thought the price Mr. Wright gave Mr. Hall was for the entire roof, but it was for the first stage of the construction. Mr. Wright said the wood shakes were \$8,000 and Mr. Hall only wanted to pay him \$7,000 for the materials for the entire roof. Mr. Wright said Mr. Hall got a bid of \$12,500.00 from another contractor, and Mr. Hall insisted that Mr. Wright change his contract price to \$12,500.00. Mr. Wright stated Mr. Hall insisted on replacing the roof with wood shakes, but Mr. Wright knew that they would have to get approval from the HOA and RBD to use wood shakes.

Mr. Wright said the initial contact by Mr. Hall was to do an emergency repair. Mr. Wright said when they initially talked, Mr. Hall stated he was having water damage to the house and needed Mr. Wright to start work on the house immediately. Mr. Wright said you can work on 10% of the roof without a permit, and he tore off 10% of the roof shakes prior to getting the permit. Jim Pepper stated any more than one square requires a building permit. Dan Wright stated on November 19th, he did tear the shakes off of a 3' x 30' section of the roof before pulling a permit to do an emergency repair for Mr. Hall. He said he did not start work on the flat roof until the 20th when he pulled the permit, and this was a separate contract from the roof repair.

Mr. Berg stated the contract was dated November 18th and Mr. Wright started the work on the 19th when Mr. Hall paid Mr. Wright in full. Mr. Wright stated the November 18th contract was just for a portion of the roof in the amount of \$3,600. He said he was supposed

to do 25 percent of the roof every 12 months. Mr. Hall stated this portion of the roof did not pass the inspection because Mr. Wright did not strip the roof down prior to reroofing. Mr. Wright stated he did scrape the roof down to the gravel and tar. Jim Pepper stated he spoke with the inspector, Jon Dickey, and there were clearly three roofs on the flat portion. Mr. Wright stated the roof on the flat portion is a 4-ply tapered foam system, which is one roof. Mr. Wright stated he added ½" fiber board for the added insulation. He stated the flat roof was torn off completely. Mr. Wright said from the beginning of the foam up is one layer of roof system.

Jeff Hall stated he had Empire Roofing repair the work that Mr. Wright did and he has pictures of the work that was done. Jim Pepper stated if Empire Roofing stated they took off two rubber layers of roof and the gravel, it is three layers with the gravel. He stated the issue is whether the work was done prior to pulling a permit and not the number of layers in the roof. Brian Olson stated he sees three layers of roofing materials in the pictures.

Sherry Szklarski appeared and stated she has contacted Scott Lund and he denied pulling a permit for her house. Jim Pepper stated Scott Lund pulled a permit for Mr. Wright to do the work, and then Mr. Lund voided the permit. She stated she would like a copy of the Letter of Reprimand to Mr. Wright.

John Clarke stated there is a contract to repair six squares of roof shakes, which requires a permit; we have a witness that states that he saw Mr. Wright pulling the shakes off the roof prior to getting a permit; and Mr. Hall states it was not an emergency repair, Mr. Hall just needed a small area repaired to prevent a leak; we also have some evidence that there were leaks in the roof; and Mr. Clarke has seen evidence that work was being done on the roof prior to a permit being obtained on November 20th. A motion was made John Clarke to recommend to the Board of Review to **UPHOLD** the decision of the January 6, 2010, Building Committee meeting because no new evidence was presented that would alter the Committee's decision of January 6, 2010, seconded by Chad Holladay; the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Nuss asked that Mr. Wright's license be reinstated in order to clear up the "A" status permits. Mr. Pepper stated he can complete the "A" status permits prior to the Board of Review meeting on March 17, 2010. A motion was made by John Clarke to recommend to the Board of Review that Mr. Wright's license be reinstated to complete the "A" status permits prior to the Board of Review meeting on March 17, 2010, with the stipulation that no additional permits may be obtained, seconded by Tom McDonald; the motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 2:56 p.m.